Case 1:07-cv-04187-A	KH Document	1 Filed 03/20/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COU SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW			
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
FRANK HERNANDEZ AND MABLE D	AVIS	DOCKET NO.	
- against - A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SH COMPLAINT RELATED TO THI MASTER COMPL PLAINTIFF(S) DEI JURY	E
	Defendants.		
By Order of the Honorable 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Mas			_
	NOTICE (OF ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraph instant Paintiff(s) as if fully set for Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. and specific case information is set if	orth herein in addi These are marked	ition to those paragraphs I with an '☑" if applicable	specific to the individual
DI 1 1100 ED ANIX HEDNIA	NIDER AND MAI		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Plaintiffs, FRANK HERNANDEZ AND MABLE DAVIS, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1.	*	`	the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual
and a citizei	n of New York residing at 55°	/ Van Nest Avenue, Bro	nx, NY 10460
		(OR)	
2.	Alternatively, □	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of
	, and ornigs this claim	in ins (ner) capacity as	of the Estate of

Ca	ase 1:07-cv-04187-AKH Documer	nt 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. residing at 55 Plaintiff:		er the "Derivative Plaintiff'), is a citizen of New York)-, and has the following relationship to the Injured
Flamum.	FRANK HERNANDEZ, and	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to
		usband (his wife), Plaintiff FRANK HERNANDEZ. Other:
4. Edison of Ne	In the period from 9/12/2001 to 10/1/ew York, Inc. as a Mech. A at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Consolidated
	Please be as specific as possible when f	illing in the following dates and locations
======= ✓ The Worl	d Trade Center Site	=====================================
	i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about;
From on or a	bout _9/12/2001_ until _10/1/2001_;	Approximately hours per day; for
Approximate	ely <u>12</u> hours per day; for	Approximately days total.
Approximate	ely <u>19</u> days total. ====================================	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the
	bout, until, ely hours per day; for	dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total
	ely days total.	days, and for the employer, as specified below:
	======================================	From on or about until;
	bout;	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total;
	ely hours per day; for ely days total.	Name and Address of Non-WTC Site
		Building/Worksite:
		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled of dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. **DEFENDANT(S)**

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY ☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	l <u> </u>
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☐ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL □EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
L / WORLD INADL COMIANI, L.I.	LEVAINGEN VIRUNIVIEN LAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

☐ OTHER:

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	C
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-04187-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specification Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers J.; ☑ Contested, be wal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisd ut the U.S.C	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has 2. § 1441.
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
Y	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-04187-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A.			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Respiratory Problems		$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Fear of Cancer
	Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date			Date of onset: To be supplied at a later
	Date physician first connected this injury to			date
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			Date physician first connected this injury
				to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
				date
	Digestive Injury: N/A.		V	Other Injury: N/A.
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	ıst	ive list	of injuries that may be alleged.
				ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	W	ill in th	ne future suffer the following compensable
dama	ges:			
====	D : 1 CC :	==	=====	
\checkmark	Pain and suffering			
\checkmark	I are of the enjarance of life			
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
V	Loss of comings and/or impairment of			
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			
	earning capacity			
V	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of			
<u> </u>	retirement benefits			
\checkmark	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and			
	Emperious for interious care, incaminent, and			

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

rehabilitation

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

Other:

 \checkmark

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York March 2, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Frank Hernandez and Mable Davis

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action. That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
March 2, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
	Frank Hernandez (and Wife, Mable Davis),			
	Plaintiff(s) - against -			
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,			
	Defendant(s).			
=========	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT			
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700			
	To Attorney(s) for			
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.			
	Dated, Attorney(s) for			
PLEASE				
tha	EE OF ENTRY t the within is a (certified) true copy of an y entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20			
tha wil jud wit	E OF SETTLEMENT t an order of which the within is a true copy be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the ges of the hin named Court, at 20 at M. ted, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP			